

Evaluation - JCN Final Conference 03.09.2014 - 05.09.2014 a total of 284 evaluations

	result	esult result between 1 and 10										part result		whole result	
1. Organisational Aspects															
1.1 Organisation of the facilities used for the conference was	9,5	not suitable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	suitable	<u>9,4</u>	
1.2 The technical equipment used during the conference was	9,3	not suitable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	suitable	3,4	
2. Contents															
2.1 Theconference content was	8,5	not interesting	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	interesting		
2.2 The structure of the conference program was	8,6	not suitable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	suitable		
2.3 Was the conference consistent with your expectations?	8,2	inconsistent	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	consistent	<u>8,3</u>	
2.4 Was the conference content consistent with your needs?	7,9	inconsistent	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	consistent		
2.5 Was the conference content well developed?	8,5	not well developed	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	well developed		
3. Methodologies															
3.1 The tools and materials used during the conference were	8,8	not appropriate	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	appropriate		
3.2 The methodologies used were	8,5	not appropriate	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		appropriate	<u>8,6</u>	
3.3 The activities organised were	8,5	not useful	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		useful		
4. Skills of the experts in Charge of the conference															<u>8,5</u>
4.1 Capability of moderating the conference including the forums 1-4	8,6	poor	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	excellent		
4.2 The interaction between experts and participants was	8,1	non existent	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		stimulating	<u>7,9</u>	
4.3 Your level of involvement and active participation was:	7,0	very low	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		very high	1,0	
														l	
5. Atmosphere during the conference															
5.1 Communication insid the groups has been	8,4	poor	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	excellent	<u>8,3</u>	
5.2 Interaction between theconfernece participants was	8,5	poor	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	excellent		
5.3 How useful do you feel that the contacs you made with the other conference participants will be for the future collaborations?	8,0	not very useful	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	useful		
6. General evaluation															
6.1 The forum 1-4 program was	8,5	not interesting	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	interesting		
6.2 The conference program was	8,4		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		involving	<u>8,4</u>	